

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_111978
Title of the Manuscript:	MATERNAL PERCEPTION OF FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO UNDER NUTRITION AMONG UNDER-FIVE CHILDREN IN SELECTED PRIMARY HEALTHCARE FACILITIES IN ABEOKUTA SOUTH LGA.
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The manuscript is very important since it explore the knowledge among parents, whether they know what contribute to under nutrition among under five children. The findings will assist to develop tailor made interventions to mitigate the problem. Areas with similar setting can copy similar intervention to respond to their needs.</p> <p>I suggest the title to be “Exploring Maternal Perspectives on Contributors to Undernutrition Among Under-Five Children in Chosen Primary Healthcare Centres within Abeokuta South Local Government Area, name of the country. Otherwise even myself I Don’t know which country is this study has been conducted</p> <p>The abstract is very long and not easy to understand, should be comprehensive.</p> <p>Sub section and structure is correct</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct since it followed standards of scientific methodology, reporting, and communication.</p> <p>I think the aim of the reference is to balance between providing enough references to support the argument and not to overwhelming the reader with an excessive number and focus on the most relevant and recent sources that directly contribute to study. Being a case for this study the references are sufficient and recent</p> <p>Conclusion part can be reversed to reflect aim of the study and its findings. Abstract should be re-written</p>	<p>Yes it is</p> <p>I added the name of the country in the corrected manuscript</p> <p>Amended the abstract</p> <p>Yea</p> <p>Yea</p> <p>Yes it is</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	YES
Optional/General comments	GOOD	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	